1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 11 STEPHANIE WILSON, ) Case No. 12 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION** 13 **OF FEDERAL FAIR DEBT** 14 **COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT** VS. 15 CREDIT CONTROL, LLC, and 16 RAZOR CAPITAL II, LLC, 17 Defendants. 18 19 NATURE OF ACTION 20 This is an action brought under the Fair Debt Collection Practices 1. 21 Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. 22 23 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 24 This Court has jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 2. 25 26 U.S.C. § 1331. 27 COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR 28 DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-1 WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC 3877 N. Deer Lake Rd. Loon Lake, WA 99148 509-232-1882

866-565-1327 facsimile jrobbins@AttorneysForConsumers.com

3. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), where the acts and transactions giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred in this district, where Plaintiff resides in this district, and/or where Defendants' transact business in this district.

## **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, Stephanie Wilson ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person who at all relevant times resided in the State of Washington, County of Clallam, and City of Port Angeles.
  - 5. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 6. Defendant, Credit Control, LLC ("CCL") is an entity which all relevant times was engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
  - 7. CCL is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 8. Defendant, Razor Capital II, LLC, ("Razor") is an entity that acquires debt in default merely for collection purposes, and who at all relevant times was engaged in the business of attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff.
  - 9. Razor is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

3

5

4

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Plaintiff is a natural person obligated, or allegedly obligated, to pay a debt owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than CCL.
- 11. Plaintiff's obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than CCL, arises from a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction were incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Plaintiff incurred the obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than CCL.
- 13. CCL uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, and/or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 14. Razor purchases debts once owed or once due, or asserted to be once owed or once due a creditor.
- 15. Razor acquired Plaintiff's debt once owed or once due, or asserted to be once owed or once due a creditor, when the debt was in default.
- 16. Razor is thoroughly enmeshed in the debt collection business, and Razor is a significant participant in CCL's debt collection process.

to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose." *See* Correspondence, attached hereto as Exhibit "B

- 20. Plaintiff's counsel did not consent to any direct communication with Plaintiff.
- 21. At no time did Plaintiff's counsel fail to respond within a reasonable period of time to a communication from Defendant.
- 22. Defendants' actions constitute conduct highly offensive to a reasonable person.

## COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2) DEFENDANT CCL

- 23. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation above.
- 24. CCL violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2) by communicating with Plaintiff directly after learning that Plaintiff was represented by counsel, when an attempt to contact Plaintiff's counsel had not been made, or Plaintiff's counsel was not given sufficient time to respond to an initial attempt to communicate, and where no permission had been given to contact Plaintiff directly.
- 25. Razor, by virtue of its status as a "debt collector" under the FDCPA, is liable for actions of CCL, the debt collector it hired to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff on its behalf.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-5

28

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that CCL violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2);
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k, in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

## COUNT II VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2) DEFENDANT RAZOR

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation above.
- 27. CCL violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2) by communicating with Plaintiff directly after learning that Plaintiff was represented by counsel, when an attempt to contact Plaintiff's counsel had not been made, or Plaintiff's counsel was not given sufficient time to respond to an initial attempt to communicate, and where no permission had been given to contact Plaintiff directly.

28. Razor, by virtue of its status as a "debt collector" under the FDCPA, is liable for actions of CCL, the debt collector it hired to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff on its behalf.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Razor violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2);
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k, in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

1 TRIAL BY JURY 2 Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury. 3 4 Respectfully submitted this 12th day of September, 2012. 5 6 s/Jon N. Robbins Jon N. Robbins 7 WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC 8 Attorney for Plaintiff 9 3877 N. Deer Lake Rd. Loon Lake, WA 99148 10 509-232-1882 11 866-565-1327 facsimile jrobbins@AttorneysForConsumers.com 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR 28 DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-8 WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC

3877 N. Deer Lake Rd. Loon Lake , WA 99148 509-232-1882 866-565-1327 facsimile jrobbins@AttorneysForConsumers.com